**Office / Court of the Ombudsman, Electricity Punjab,**

66 KV Grid Sub-Station, Plot No. A-2,

Industrial Area, Phase-1, S.A.S Nagar (Mohali)

Phone no. 0172-2270234, 2270235 Fax: 2274576

**ORDER**

**AGAINST REPERSENTATION DATED 30.07.2016**

**(on Rectification of orders dated 13.07.2016).**

**In Appeal no: 23 / 2015 (decided on 20.08.2015) of M/S Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd; (Now M/S Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd;)**

**Plot No. A-41, Industrial Area, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali),**

**Versus**

**PSPCL**

The petitioner vide his letter, SUN.PSTCL:2016 dated 07.09.2016 represented to this Court that Respondents (PSTCL) have not complied with the directions given to them vide Memo no: 1022 / OEP / A – 23 / 2015 dated 29.08.2016 for providing item wise detail of expenditure to the petitioner on or before 09.09.2016. The respondents supplied the Abstract of expenditure incurred on erection of 66 KV line but details were not supplied. As per directions, both parties attended the Court Proceedings on 14.09.2016 at 11.30 A.M. to conclude the discussions wherein the Respondents were directed to show all the connected vouchers / other records pertaining to the expenditure on work on or before 21.09.2016 so that the Petitioner may satisfy himself that the disputed amount of Rs. 4,10,000/- is not a part of the actual expenditure in any form / manner.

In compliance to the above directions, the Respondents, vide memo no: 2594 dated 23.09.2016, had informed that complete record / details of expenditure incurred on 66 KV Line has been shown to the authorized official of petitioner on 20 & 21.09.2016 who had noted all the required details. The fact was also telephonically confirmed by Sh. Narinder Ahuja, the petitioner’s representative on 29.09.2016 and conceded that the disputed amount of Rs. 4,10,000/- has not been found included in the final figure of expenditure of Rs. 65,27,119/-.

The Petitioner’s main concern in the present representation dated 30.07.2016 was regarding the refund amount of Rs. 4,10,000/- which according to the Petitioner was included in the final expenditure of Rs. 65,27,119/- and thus he was not satisfied with the rectified order dated 13.07.2016 and accordingly he represented to reinvestigate the case. Now, all the concerned and connected documents have been scrutinized by the Petitioner as per directions of this Court and he is satisfied that the disputed amount of Rs. 4,10,000/- has not been found included in the final expenditure.

In view of the above discussions, it is felt that no further action or review of rectified order dated 13.07.2016 is required and accordingly the Petitioner’s representation dated 30.07.2016 is rejected.

Dated: 30th of September 2016. (MOHINDER SINGH)

Ombudsman, Electricity Punjab,

Mohali.